

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/14/2004

| APPLICATION NO. | F | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------|------|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/511,203 02/23/2000 | | 02/23/2000 | Bernard Bancal | Bancal-8 | 3778 |
| 28581 | 7590 | 01/14/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| DUANE M | | | LEE, GUIYOUNG | | |
| 100 COLLE PRINCETO | | O WEST, SUITE 100 8540-6604 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| That test of the vote to vote to | | | | 2875 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Applica | tion No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | | | BANCAL ET AL. | | | | | |
| | | | 203 | | | | | | |
| | | | er | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication | Guiyoun | - | 2875 | | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the corresponding address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statution of the period for reply will be of the period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATION. TOTAL TOTAL TO | event, however, may a re latutory minimum of thirty will expire SIX (6) MONT pplication to become ABA | oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed | on | | | | | | | |
| 2a)□ | This action is FINAL . 2b) | $oxed{\boxtimes}$ This action is | non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | | |
| 4)🖂 | I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-6,9 and 11</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) <u>7 and 8</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| 10)[| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. | | | | | | | | | |
| Attachmer | | | 🗀 | (0.70 440) 5 | | | | | |
| 2) Noti | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap | | | ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/511,203 Page 2

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Prelim./Amdt.

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the Amendment filed Sept. 23, 2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-6, 9, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mougin et al. (USPT 5,764,000) in view of Meyer et al. (USPT 6,133,690).

Re claims 1 and 11: Mougin discloses a flat display screen anode having a plurality of phosphor elements (7 in Fig. 3), at least one biasing electrode (18) under the phosphor elements, the bias electrode comprising at least one resistive layer (18) (See Fig. 3-Fig.7); and at least one conductive layer (19), operable for biasing corresponding ones of the phosphor elements, and a cathode (1 in Fig. 8) for generating electrons to bombard the anode. Mougin does not disclose that the conductive layer is positioned under the phosphor elements. However, Meyer teaches that the conductive layer (C in Fig. 1) is positioned under the phosphor elements (P in Fig. 1). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to locate Mougin's conductive layers under the phosphor elements as suggested by Meyer, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, USPQ 70.

Application/Control Number: 09/511,203

Art Unit: 2875

Re claim 6: Mougin discloses that the resistive layer (18 in Fig. 3) is formed without being

patterned

Re claim 9: Mougin discloses that the conductive layer is formed in a pattern of alternative strips

interconnected in at least two sets (7r, 7g, 7b in Fig. 8).

Re claims 2-3: Mougin does not disclose a reflective conductive layer. However, Meyer teaches

a reflective conductive layer (46 in Fig. 2). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary

skill in the art at the time of the invention to substitute Mayer's reflective conductive layer with

Mougin's conductive layer in order to provide a display screen having a transparent cathode

structure.

Re claims 4-5: Meyer teaches that the reflective layer (46) is organized in an elementary pattern

(See Fig. 2) and the phosphor elements (48) are organized in elementary pattern corresponding to

that of the reflective layer (See Fig. 2).

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 7-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Although Mougin discloses a resistive layer, Mougin fails to teach that the resistive layer has the

same pattern as the reflective layer or the conductive layer, as set forth in the claims.

Art Unit: 2875

Response to Arguments

- 8. Applicant's arguments, see the Remarks (pages 6-9), filed September 23, 2003, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-9 and 11 under 35 USC 102 and 35 USC 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Mougin and Meyer as discussed above.
- 9. In light of the amendment to the claims and Applicant's clarification, the Examiner's claims rejection under the second paragraph of 35 USC 112 is withdrawn.

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *Guiyoung Lee* whose telephone number is (571) 272-2374. The examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 8:00 AM to 3:30PM Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea, can be reached on (571) 272-2378. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306. The Right Fax phone number for the examiner is (703) 746-4766.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [Guiyoung.lee@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or

Art Unit: 2875

exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

GYL

GAU2875

01/05/2004

Sandra O'Shea

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800